

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,192	SCHRIMPF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlic K. Huynh	1617	

All Participants:

(1) Carlic K. Huynh.

(2) Portia Chen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 July 2007

Time: 4 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant's representative, Portia Chen, was informed that a Notice of Abandonment will be sent because 6 months had past since the filing on a Restriction Requirement, which was sent on 26 December 2006. Portia Chen then informed the Examiner that a response to the Election Requirement was sent on 17 January 2007 and that a postcard acknowledging the receipt of said response from the USPTO was obtained, however, the Office has no record of the response. The Examiner also reminded the Applicants' representative of the option of filing a Petition to Revive the application (under CFR, section 1.181).